



Docket No.: 101-1016

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Application No.: 10/799,630

Applicants : Joon-hyun YANG

Confirmation No.: 8529

Filed : March 15, 2004

Group Art Unit: 2821

Customer No. : 38209

Examiner: Binh Van Ho

Title: HIGH-EFFICIENCY POWER SUPPLY APPARATUS USED WITH A DISPLAY PANEL  
DRIVING SYSTEM AND METHOD THEREOF

Mail Stop Issue Fee  
Commissioner for patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

**UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.104**

Sir:

The Examiner provided a Statement of Reason for Allowance in the Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due, mailed December 15, 2005, in which the Examiner indicated that "cited art of record fails to teach a high-efficiency power supply apparatus used with a driving system driving a display panel, comprising a direct current power supplying circuit to improve a power factor by rectifying an alternating current power with reference to a first ground, and generating a first direct current power not isolated from the first ground of the alternating current power, and a second direct current power isolated from the first ground of the alternating current power and with reference to a second ground that is electrically blocked from the first ground; a display panel driving circuit to generate various driving signals to drive the display panel with the first non-isolated direct current power; and a video signal processing circuit to perform a predetermined video signal processing to generate data to drive the display panel with the

Serial No.: 10/799,630  
Notice of Allowance dated December 15, 2005

second isolated direct current power with a combination of all recitations as defined in claim 1, 11, 18 and 26.”

As specified in MPEP 1302.14, “care must be taken to ensure that such reasons are accurate, precise, and do not place unwarranted interpretations, whether broad or narrow, upon the claims.” It is respectfully submitted that the Examiner’s Statement is not an accurate quote with respect to each of the allowed claims, and instead, raises “possible misinterpretations, and possible estoppel effects” (MPEP 1302.04) and accordingly, should be disregarded.

The Examiner’s statement appears to unnecessarily limit the method claims to, for example, a developer supply and recovery system and the claims, for example, a combination of all recitations as defined in claims 1, 11, 18 and 26. While being useful in understanding the invention, the Examiner’s comments could lead to an unwarranted and unnecessary narrowing interpretation of the claims. Therefore, it is further submitted that the claims should not be interpreted based on the Examiner’s statement.

It is further submitted that the claims are not constrained by such device limitations and that the claims speaks for themselves as to what features are included therein and are their own best evidence as to the reasons for allowance of same.

STANZIONE & KIM, LLP

Dated: January 27, 2006  
919 18<sup>th</sup> St., NW, Suite 440  
Washington, D.C. 20006  
Telephone: (202) 775-1900  
Facsimile: (202) 775-1901

By:   
Seungman Kim  
Registration No. 50,012